This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addres: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/741,216	12/20/2000	Peter Beijbom	HW-5647	7653	
26294	7590 09/04/2003				
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	OR AVENUE, SUITE 1111 ND, OH 44114		MANOHARA	MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1764		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

"		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/741,216	BEIJBOM, PETER				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Virginia Manoharan	1764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	April 2003					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	on of Claims	•					
, —	Claim(s) 2-3 and 7-10 is/are pending in the ap		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·				
• •	on Papers						
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•		arriiri o r.	•				
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (d) on (6)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	- have been received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment	•						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· ===	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1764

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because the recitation at page 2 of "... The object of the present invention is to eliminate this danger by simple means and this is accomplished by providing the device with substantially the characterizing features of <u>subsequent claim 1</u> ..."

One looks at the specification for interpretation of the languages in the claims and not vice versa. (Underlining supplied).

Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed "The solvent steam pressure generated ... from lifting of the flexible inner container in the lower space ..." in claims 2 and 9. Compare e.g., with page 2, last paragraph wherein there is no pressure mention. The steam itself is quite different from the steam pressure. However, if support can be pointed-out at least, the specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the above claimed subject matter as it is not positively recited in the specification. See also the following claimed languages not positively recited in specification: " ... the pipe means being positioned inside the outer container

Art Unit: 1764

defining the boiling chamber ..." in claims 2 and 9; and the "flexible inner container being movable into different configuration within the boiling chamber..".

Claims 2-3 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. The "pipe means being positioned inside the outer container defining the boiling chamber ..." appears to be inconsistent with the initially recited "a pipe means located between the flexible inner container and the outer container ...". The former recitation appears to be broadening the latter recitation..
- b. The term "pure" in claims 2 and 9 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "pure" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably appraised of the scope of the invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admission in view of Hoover.

The above references are applied for the same combined reasons as set forth at page 4 of the previous Office action, dated 10/30/02, @ paper # 7.

Art Unit: 1764

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 9-10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed April 16, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Contrary to applicant's contention pipe 102 or pipe 91 are both inside the outer container as shown in Figs 1-3 and 5 of the Hoover's reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 703-308-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9462 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Art Unit: 1764

V. Manoharan/mn September 3, 2003

> VIRGINIAMANO TO AN PRIMARY EXAMINED ART UNIT 132 | 724

9/3/03